

JAN 24 2007

Serial No. 10/777,996
Amendment and Response to Office Action
Mailed August 24, 2006

Filed: February 12, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 9-31 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-8 have been canceled, and Claims 9-31 have been added. No new matter has been added. Reconsideration of the pending Claims is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to the Claims and the following remarks.

Claim Rejections pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0090279 to Witkowski et al. (hereinafter "Witkowski"). In addition, Claim 5 was rejected as obvious in view of Witkowski. Applicant has cancelled claims 1-8 rendering these rejections moot, since new claims 9-31 are patentable over Witkowski.

For example, Claim 9 describes an obtaining section configured to obtain a content which includes a plurality of particular scripts and a shared script, each of said particular scripts being associated with a script identifier that identifies one of a plurality of types of electronic devices. Witkowski, on the other hand, fails to describe a plurality of particular scripts and a shared script. In addition, Witkowski does not describe each of said particular scripts being associated with a script identifier that identifies one of a plurality of types of electronic devices as described in Claim 9. To the contrary, Witkowski is concerned solely with downloading content from an electronic device to a vehicle.(paragraph 49) It follows that Witkowski could not possible describe that the particular script is selectable with said control section in accordance with said device identifier as also described in Claim 9.

In another example, Claim 18 describes the step of obtaining a content that includes a plurality of particular scripts and a shared script, each of said particular scripts being associated with a script identifier that identifies one of a plurality of types of electronic devices. Witkowski

Serial No. 10/777,996
Amendment and Response to Office Action
Mailed August 24, 2006

Filed: February 12, 2004

not only fails to describe a plurality of particular scripts and a shared script, but also that each of said particular scripts is associated with a script identifier that identifies one of a plurality of types of electronic devices as described in Claim 18.

In still another example, Claim 24 describes an electronic device that includes software stored in said memory and executable by said processor to receive downloaded content, said content comprising a plurality of device particular scripts, and a common script. Claim 24 also describes software stored in said memory and executable by said processor to select one of said device particular scripts that corresponds to said determined type of said electronic device. Conversely, Witkowski fails to describe such content. Thus, it follows that Witkowski could not possibly describe software executable by a processor to select one of said device particular scripts that corresponds to said determined type of said electronic device as described in Claim 24.

With this amendment and response, Applicant believes that the present pending claims of this application are allowable, and respectfully requests the Examiner to issue a Notice of Allowance for this application. Should the Examiner deem a telephone conference to be beneficial in expediting allowance/examination of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Sanders N. Hillis
Attorney Reg. No. 45,712
Attorney for Applicant

SNH

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
Customer No. 27879
Telephone: 317-636-0886
Facsimile: 317-634-6701